

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,334	12/30/2003	Paul L. Hickman	59147-8002.US02	7600
22913 WORKMAN N	7590 01/25/2008 NVDEGGER		EXAM	AINER
WORKMAN NYDEGGER 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			RICHMAN, GLENN E	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3764	
		•		,
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			. 01/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/751,334	HICKMAN, PAUL L			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Glenn Richman	3764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR te, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 I This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal mat				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5,8,15-18 and 20-29 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-17,25,27 and 29 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5,8,18,20-24,26 and 28 is/are re 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	is/are withdrawn from con	sideration.			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	• •			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
		•			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/16/06.	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

10/751,334 Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 8, 24, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bisberg.

Bisberg discloses an exercise apparatus having a level of effort that is adjustable with an actuator (abstract), said exercise apparatus providing a scripted virtual personal training experience for a user of said exercise apparatus (abstract), which is stored in a memory (40) which is removably engageable with said exercise apparatus.

Bisberg does not disclose the memory is digital, however it would have been obvious to use a digital memory with Bisberg, as it is well known in the art, to convert a tape to a digital memory, for storing data.

Bisberg further discloses said scripted virtual personal training experience provides warning of an impending change in said level of effort prior to a change in said level of effort by an automated control of said actuator by said exercise apparatus (col. 4, lines 36 – et seq.), wherein said scripted virtual personal training experience Includes vocal and actuator control data stored in said memory (col. 4, lines 36 – et seq.), said automated control of said actuator is in accordance with said actuator control data (col.

Application/Control Number:

10/751,334 Art Unit: 3764

4, lines 36 – et seq.), said exercise apparatus Is selected from the group consisting essentially of a bicycle, a rowing machine, a step machine, a treadmill, and a resistance trainer,

Claims 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bisberg in view of Bond et al.

Bisberg discloses said exercise apparatus Is one of a plurality of exercise apparatus fig. 1.

Bisberg does not discloses the exercise apparatus is in at least part-time communication with a computer that is separate from said exercise apparatus.

Bond et al discloses an exercise apparatus is in at least part-time communication with a computer that is separate from said exercise apparatus. "After every sixteen executions of the 100 Hz routine, the 6.25 Hz routine will execute. The 6.25 Hz routine performs torque and velocity baseline measurements, executes front panel routines and calculates the PRIOR.VEL.ERR value. The values of the parameters which are read and/or calculated in the 6.25 Hz routine are held and passed to the 100 Hz routine for use in sections of that routine. The data transmission routines performs basic serial data communications between the on-board, real-time control computer system and the remote computer system which performs data processing and display functions and/or downloads control information to the on-board computer system."

10/751,334 Art Unit: 3764

It would have been obvious to use Bond et al's computer with Bisberg's devices, as it is well known to use a computer separate from an exercise device, as taught by Bond et al, for communicating exercise data to the computer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 18, 23, 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bisberg.

Bisberg discloses a frame fig. 1; a body-engaging member fig. 1, an actuator coupled between said frame and said body-engaging member 18, an audio display providing an audible alert of an impending change in a scripted exercise session col. 4, lines 36 – et seq.), an actuator controller coupled to said actuator for implementing said change subsequent to said audible alert col. 4, lines 36 – et seq.), said audio display includes a loudspeaker 44

Claims 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bisberg in view of Sweeney 4358105.

Bisberg does not disclose a visual display displaying images related to said scripted exercise session.

10/751,334 Art Unit: 3764

Sweeney disclose a visual display displaying images related to said scripted exercise session col. 4, lines 60 – et seq.

It would have been obvious to use Sweeney's display with Bisberg exercise device, as it is well known as taught by Sweeney, to use a visual display, for providing visual feedback to an exerciser.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn Richman whose telephone number is 571-272-4981. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LoAn Thanh can be reached on (571)272-4966. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Glenn Richman Primary Examiner Application/Control Number: 10/751,334 Art Unit: 3764

Page 6

Art Unit 3764